GMCA Annual Meeting Oct 17-19, 2012

Thanks to all our speakers, and to our members and sustaining and commercial members.

17 Oct 12 First Session

- AMCA Washington Day Henry Lewandowski
  - 1. Purpose
    - 1. Speak to congressmen
    - 2. Educate ourselves on legislative issues
  - 2. Issues
    - 1. Clean Water Act/NPDES
    - 2. ELC
    - 3. Endangered Species Act and mosquito control
    - 4. FQPA appropriations
    - 5. Wildlife refuges
  - 3. 10 appointments
  - 4. Left point papers at the other 5 offices
  - 5. Accomplishments
    - 1. Reemphasized a need to pass HR 872
      - 1. All states have currently implemented the NPDES permit
      - 2. Implementation varies widely
      - 3. HR 872 is stalled in the Senate
      - 4. The Farm Bill is another possible means of killing the pesticide general permit, but an extension is anticipated rather than a new version
    - 2. Reinforced need for the ELC grant arboviral monies
  - 6. The mood in Washington was very subdued partisan politics at work
  - 7. Congress suffers from a significant lack of information about these issues
- The Future of Arboviral Surveillance in Georgia Rosmarie Kelly
- Mosquito Control from the PMP Viewpoint Scot Hodges (Arrow Exterminators)
  - 1. The beginning
    - 1. Little knowledge in the pest control industry concerning mosquitoes
    - 2. WNV brought a need to the commercial market
  - 2. The knows
    - 1. What to charge need to make money
    - 2. What products to use
    - 3. How to market this
    - 4. What results should be expected
    - 5. What are the negatives legal issues
  - 3. Factors to consider
    - 1. Profit

- 2. Competition
- 3. Marketability
- 4. Customer retention need to have recurring revenue
- 4. Materials
  - 1. What works
  - 2. What doesn't
  - 3. What are the costs of doing business
- 5. Marketing
  - 1. Add-on service for existing customers
  - 2. Stand-alone service
- 6. Challenges
  - 1. Licensing CAT 41
    - 1. New test for supervisors
    - 2. Recertification hours
    - 3. Training
  - 2. Training required for sales staff and service staff
  - 3. Equipment
    - 1. Need for mosquito control can be very different
    - 2. Equipment can be specific for customer need
    - 3. No longer sell misting systems
  - 4. Getting control to where it is needed
  - 5. Avoiding non-target effects
  - 6. Staffing
    - 1. Cross-training
    - 2. Seasonality of control
  - 7. Must do a good inspection
  - 8. What about adjoining properties breeding mosquitoes
    - 1. Educate customer
    - 2. Reduction, not elimination
  - 9. Potential for chemical trespass issues
- 7. Opportunities
  - 1. Mosquitoes are everywhere
  - 2. Government mosquito control can't be everywhere
  - 3. Special events
  - 4. Happy customers lead to referrals
- 8. Results
  - 1. Some issues to overcome
  - 2. Customers love the service
    - 1. Customer satisfaction is the measure of efficacy
    - 2. Seems to work well overall
  - 3. Techs like the added work
  - 4. Sales staff like the increased revenue
  - 5. Company is happy with the increased profit and revenue 35% increase over the last year
- Richmond County Mosquito Control NPDES Follow-up Report Fred Koehle

- 1. Establishing mosquito population levels
  - 1. Landing rates
  - 2. Trap counts
  - 3. Complaints
  - 4. Prior history
- 2. Mapping
  - 1. Sources of larval and adult mosquitoes
  - 2. Control routes -
    - 1. 300 foot buffer
    - 2. Requires creative control solutions
- 3. Action thresholds
  - 1. History
  - 2. Service requests 2 per block or subdivision
  - 3. Larvae one per dip
  - 4. Landing counts 5 per minute
  - 5. Traps 10 mosquitoes
- 4. Source reduction
  - 1. Survey at all complaint sites
  - 2. Fill out report with proposed control method signed by homeowner
  - 3. Maintain contact with county agency responsible for ditches and impoundments
  - 4. Know the health nuisance abatement policy
- 5. BioControl
  - 1. Mosquito fish
  - 2. Natural predators
- 6. Chemical control
  - 1. The label is the law
  - 2. Larvicides
    - 1. Mule for catch basin larviciding
    - 2. Various products
      - 1. Duplex
      - 2. Methoprene
      - 3. Agnique
      - 4. Bti and Bs
  - 3. Adulticides
    - 1. ULV truck spray
    - 2. Barrier spray downtown area (Mule)
    - 3. Looking to add a thermal fogger for future spray in heavy undergrowth
  - 4. Surveillance
    - 1. will form the treatment plans for the target area
    - 2. is done to monitor control efficacy
  - 5. NPDES
- 7. Work with the media
- 8. Record keeping
  - 1. Keep info daily
  - 2. Data sheet in trucks

- 3. GIS
- 9. Mosquito worker safety
- 10. Security measures to protect trucks and pesticide
- 11. NPDES seems to be working for Richmond County
  - 1. It forces the program to do things correctly if we are stuck with NPDES you may as well use it to your advantage
  - 2. Provides more one on one education with the citizens
  - 3. Better planning and execution
    - 1. Routes are better known by techs
    - 2. Better use of man power and resources
    - 3. More work getting done
- 12.2012 was a good trial for the new program
  - 1. Lots of rain
  - 2. Extreme numbers of mosquito complaints
  - 3. It was a lot of work, but everything got done
- DeKalb County Update Juanette Willis
  - 1. Vector control program
  - 2. 2011 (July 23) 10 year anniversary of first reported WNV positive (bird) in Georgia
  - 3. Putting together a 10 year review
    - 1. Birds
      - 1. 36% of birds tested were positive
      - 2. Largely blue jays and crows
    - 2. GIS dot maps showing positive samples in a band across the county
      - 1. Density analysis shows the defined positive band across central to northern DeKalb
      - 2. All of the county is urban and suburban
      - 3. All data support this
    - 3. Mosquitoes
      - 1. About 24 trap sites
      - 2. Over 4000 trap nights
      - 3. 26 species collected
      - 4. Almost 200 positives
      - 5. Primarily use a tackle box gravid trap
    - 4. Human cases
      - 1. 1 residents, including 2 PVBDs
      - 2. Age range is 15-87
      - 3. 89% of cases occurred August and September
  - 4. Using the data
    - 1. Used the human case location map
    - 2. Laid zip code layering top
    - 3. Set risk level area map for assigning work priorities
    - 4. Allows a more efficient use of resources
    - 5. How is this holding up
      - 1. Mosquito data are still found primarily in high risk area

- 2. Even moved trap sites to areas not trapped previously
- 5. Door to door education
  - 1. Positives
  - 2. High numbers of mosquitoes
- 6. Larviciding
- 7. Bottom line WNV is life changing
- Mosquitoes and Wetlands Oscar Flite
  - 1. It all started with a conversation about mosquitoes and leaky septic tanks
  - 2. Turns out the problems were in the same place
  - 3. Fecal coliform and streams, or can you use mosquitoes to detect leaky septic tanks.
  - 4. Some things of interest
    - 1. Mosquitoes have separate specialized sensors and receptor signals for different compounds on antennae and maxillary palps
    - 2. Each is used for different life cycle requirements
    - 3. Some of the captors are for human volatiles (urine and feces)
      - 1. Indole very big trigger
      - 2. Skatole
      - 3. Thiol
  - 5. Feral coliform study
    - 1. Determine source in two streams
    - 2. Following a packet of water (langrangian approach)
    - 3. Look for obvious sources
    - 4. Develop a baseline for contribution by sediment and bacteria transported on sediment
    - 5. Need
      - 1. Concentrations of fecal coliform in streams
      - 2. Location of septic systems need to map this
      - 3. Location of sanitary sewers
    - 6. Current results
      - 1. Sanitary sewers are mapped
      - 2. Working on septic
      - 3. Higher numbers of in-stream fecal coliform appear to correspond with septic tanks
      - 4. Most areas with high fecal coliform and septic tanks also have higher numbers of mosquitoes in gravid traps
      - 5. IDs are pending
    - 7. The study is continuing
  - TMVCA Abelardo Moncayo
    - 1. Lots of diseases, no mosquito and vector control association
    - 2. Formed in 2012
    - 3. First meeting in March
    - 4. Putting together an association
      - 1. Lots of coffee shop meetings

- 2. Steering committee
  - 1. TN Dept of Health
  - 2. TN Dept of Ag
  - 3. TN Wildlife Resources Agency
  - 4. University members
  - 5. Others
- 3. Approached Sustaining Members
- 4. Created a logo
  - 1. 13 stars for the 13 public health regions
  - 2. Mosquito inspired by Oc japonicus
- 5. Interim board of directors first election will be held in 2013
- 6. Regional directors will come from the 3 state regions
- 7. Committees
  - 1. Membership
  - 2. Program
  - 3. Finance
  - 4. Public relations
- 8. Meeting location Nashville
- 9. Website
- 10. Newsletters
- 11. First meeting
  - 1. 60-70 people attended
  - 2. Lots of good talks
  - 3. Lots of variety
  - 4. Hope to keep up the momentum
- 12. One big problem the No Spray Coalition
- 5. What about WNV
  - 1. 3 mosquito control programs dealing with the bulk of the WNV issues in the past
  - 2. Nashville
    - 1. Increase seen in human cases from the past few years
    - 2. Big increase in positive pools in some areas
    - 3. Very little adulticiding done
    - 4. No Spray Coalition issues
  - 3. Memphis-Shelby County
    - 1. Lots of mosquito trapping
    - 2. No bird testing
    - 3. IPM response
    - 4. Giving gambusia to public
  - 4. Knoxville
    - 1. Both LAC and WNV
    - 2. Lots of education
    - 3. IPM program
  - 5. More programs now identified and asked to join the TMVCA
- 6. Why have an association
  - 1. Good resource

- 2. Emergency preparedness assistance
- 3. Education and training
- 4. Better knowledge of resources
- 7. Upcoming events
  - 1. Fall workshop Oct 24
  - 2. Annual meeting Feb 12, 2012
  - 3. info@tenmosquito.com
  - 4. Website http://www.tennmosquito.com/

18 Oct 12 Second Session

- Entomology in Georgia 2012 Ray Noblet
  - 1. UGA is a land grant university
  - 2. Changes at UGA Sciences program
    - 1. Budget reduction of 21% for extension and research in FY11 and FY12
    - 2. A 3% additional reduction occurred in FY13
    - 3. Low student enrollment
      - 1. 20 undergrads
      - 2. 45 grads
    - 4. Cooperative extension being reorganized at the county level
    - 5. Some loss in extension staff
  - 3. Issues at UGA
    - 1. Enrollment issues
    - 2. Best option is to enroll at another GA system school and transfer into UGA as a junior
  - 4. Faculty searches
    - 1. Integrated Pest Management Coordinator
    - 2. Biological Control
    - 3. Crop Insect Pest Management peanuts
  - 5. UGA Entomology
    - 1. Very diverse group
    - 2. Some recent programs
      - 1. Plant vector biology
      - 2. Insect symbionts
      - 3. Mosquito research
        - 1. Immune response and endocrine system
        - 2. Control
      - 4. Black fly lab
        - 1. Much of the early work was in disease transmission, vector biology, and field control
        - 2. Started working with Bti in 1981
        - 3. Colony was established in 1989
        - 4. Major focus is bioassay development and improvement
        - 5. New directions
          - 1. Turgidity and Bti efficacy

- 2. Feeding rate studies
- 3. Effects of algae
- Chatham County WNV Outbreak: What the heck are they doing down there? Bobby Moulis
  - 1. Some background
    - 1. Northernmost coastal Georgia county
    - 2. 438 sq miles
    - 3. A lot of river basins and wetlands
    - 4. Use CDC, gravid, and exit traps
    - 5. EEE
      - 1. Exit trap passive funnel trap used to catch mosquitoes feeding on chickens
      - 2. Use sentinel chickens for EEE
        - 1. One bird set out at for a single night
        - 2. Only set at one sentinel site
        - 3. Chickens are tested for EEE 14 days later
      - 3. Both trap type and habitat selection are important
    - 6. WNV
      - 1. 2011 most active year
        - 1. 214 mosquito positives
        - 2. 10 human cases
      - 2. WNV activity varies widely
      - 3. Gravid traps used almost exclusively
      - 4. Trap placement is important place near refuge
      - 5. Primarily *Culex* spp
    - 7. Comparing the WNV seasons in 2011 and 2012
      - 1. Differed in timing, scope, and primary focal area
      - 2. Usual hot spots were still hot
      - 3. Less activity in 2012
        - 1. Began later in the season
        - 2. More immunity in the bird population
- WNV, AMCA, NPDES, and Other Things Joe Conlon
  - 1. WNV
    - 1. Since 1999, 35488 cases
    - 2. 1470 fatalities
    - 3. A whole lot more WNV- caused mortality and morbidity than any problems associated with control measures
    - 4. Why is this persistent?
      - 1. Lots of different hosts and vectors
      - 2. Vector competency studies muddy the picture
        - 1. Most effect vectors are Ae albopictus, Oc japonicus, and Cx salinarius
        - 2. Primary vectors are Cx quinquefasciatus, Cx pipiens, and Cx tarsalis
        - 3. Flight ranges vary
        - 4. Activity times vary

- 5. WNV fatalities are only a small part of the picture
- 6. WNV changes lives
- 2. The media can be a good thing or a bad thing
  - 1. Good for public relations
  - 2. Can cause you problems with public over-reaction and mis-information
  - 3. Use visual aids
  - 4. Message points
    - 1. WNV is here to stay
    - 2. WNV is serious
    - 3. WNV is preventable
      - 1. Personal protection measures
      - 2. Control poses no undo risk
        - 1. Bob Peterson has done a great deal of work on this subject
          - 1. <u>http://entomology.montana.edu/People/RKDPeterson/Petersona</u> <u>ndHigley.Communicating Pesticide Risks.pdf</u>
          - 2. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1392230/pdf/ehp0 114-000366.pdf
        - 2. Control done correctly has exceedingly low risk
        - 3. WNV has far more risk
      - 3. Control is effective
        - 1. Dallas 1966 SLE
          - 1. Virus pre spray 1/167
          - 2. Post spray 1/28639
        - 2. Colorado 2003 2003
          - 1. Risk reduction treated 89% decrease
          - 2. Risk increase in untreated area 50%
        - 3. Other studies show the same
      - 4. Control is less risky than disease
        - 1. Very few instances of pesticide-related problems (26 of 1800 studied)
        - 2. Lots of WNV, including a number of deaths (333)
  - 5. New research suggests that virus-infected mosquitoes react to repellent differently
    - 1. <u>http://www.ars.usda.gov/research/projects/projects.htm?ACCN\_NO=4110</u> 40&showpars=true&fy=2011
    - 2. http://www.ars.usda.gov/research/projects/projects.htm?accn\_no=411040
- 3. AMCA
  - 1. About 1600 members
  - 2. Lots of different types of people
  - 3. Members in 52 countries
  - 4. Supports legislative and regulatory advocacy
  - 5. Good research publications
  - 6. GMCA is a sustaining member
  - 7. www.mosquito.org
    - 1. Members only areas
    - 2. Gearing in future more to the public

- 8. 82nd meeting (2016) will be in Savannah
- 4. USA Science and Engineering Festival
  - 1. AMCA was involved in 2012
  - 2. 200,000 attendees
  - 3. Lots of heavy hitters
  - 4. Lots of media coverage
  - 5. Booth had lots of props, charts, maps, and interactive displays
    - 1. Hands-on stuff was most effective
    - 2. Mosquito costume was a big hit
  - 6. 3rd annual festival will be in the same location but in the fall
- 5. NPDES Impacts
  - 1. Increased admin costs are money not being used to protect citizens
  - 2. Control programs are pushed to more use of adulticides in order to get control
  - 3. What are the negative impacts
    - 1. Reducing compliance on IPM measures
    - 2. Commercial applicators serving rural communities are opting out
    - 3. Social injustice issues with the rural poor due to shutting down of small contracted programs
    - 4. Liability fears are pushing the unethical use of human sentinels before adulticiding occurs
    - 5. There will be a push for more stringent controls nationwide
    - 6. There are no funds to initiate and maintain the NPDES programs at the federal or state level
    - 7. Almost had a lawsuit in MA over a health exception for EEE spraying thrown out by judge
    - 8. Expect lawsuits in a couple of years after we adapt to the system
    - 9. No additional environmental protection has occurred due to the NPDES pesticide regulations

Welcome to a new sustaining member - PestAlto Environmental Health Services

- Mosquito Control Efforts of Clarke in Fulton County, GA Andy Lima, Erica Wyatt, Malcolm Saunders
  - 1. Operational side
    - 1. 12 year partnership starting in 2001
    - 2. Applications include
      - 1. Larviciding catch basins
      - 2. Trappings
      - 3. Barrier spray
      - 4. Inspections
    - 3. Started using Natular in 2011 to treat 12000 catch basins
      - 1. Treat historic hot spots first
      - 2. Use map to determine locations of sites to be treated
      - 3. 2011 bike program initiated for treating some of the catch basins
      - 4. Use smart phones to capture treatment data
    - 4. Program specifics

- 1. 2001 helicopter survey
- 2. Tire pile treatment program
- 3. Illegal tire dumping is a big problem in Fulton County
- 4. Barrier spray
  - 1. Focuses on areas with mosquito positives
  - 2. Will also treat citizen complaints in high risk areas
  - 3. Seeing good results
- 5. Surveillance
  - 1. 330 trap nights
  - 2. 30 trap sites
- 2. The data
  - 1. Weather conditions
    - 1. Moderate to extreme drought
    - 2. Exceptional heat in late June/July
    - 3. Very warm summer
    - 4. Early spring not much winter
  - 2. Combined sewer system
    - 1. Dump sewage directly into associated streams
    - 2. These are being remediate
    - 3. These were WNV hot spots
  - 3. Trap sites
    - 1. 30 total
    - 2. 15 active each week
    - 3. 10 in each of three regions (North, Central, South)
    - 4. Gravid and ABC collections
    - 5. 2012 using VectorTest with PCR conformation
  - 4. Mosquitoes
    - 1. ID to species
    - 2. 10-50 per pool
    - 3. Just tested quincs
    - 4. 28 pools of 126 WNV+ (22%)
    - 5. Most positives are from the Central Atlanta area (>75%)
    - 6. Peak for positives was late July to late August
    - 7. Overall percent positive for Fulton County is ~7%
  - 5. Human cases
    - 1. ~20% of human cases in Georgia are from Fulton County
    - 2. Lots of viral activity in the area
- Tactical Insecticide Resistance Surveillance with Bottle Bioassay Jim Dunford
  - 1. Resistance management 8 day course
  - 2. Resistance surveillance measure insecticide resistance as it exists at a particular time and place
  - 3. WHO had recognized that insecticide resistance is one of the largest barriers to insect control 30 years ago
  - 4. Eradication programs became control programs due to resistance issues
  - 5. Resistance surveillance data are incomplete

- 1. Data collection is hard work
- 2. Species diversity presents challenges to resistance detection and assessment
- 3. Important to know what species you are dealing with
- 6. What causes resistance
  - 1. Poor spray technique
  - 2. Insecticide quality
  - 3. pH and hard water mixed with insecticide can deactivate insecticide more quickly
- 7. Insecticide resistance mechanisms
  - 1. <u>http://pesticidestewardship.org/resistance/Insecticide/Pages/Insecticide-Resistance-Mechanisms.aspx</u>
  - 2. Types
    - 1. Kdr
      - 2. Esterases
- 8. BioAssays
  - 1. WHO assay
    - 1. Been around a long time
    - 2. Supplies are hard to get
    - 3. Use insecticide treated papers
    - 4. Exposé mosquitoes for a brief period of time
  - 2. CDC Bottle BioAssay
    - 1. Fewer supplies needed
    - 2. Introduce mosquitoes to a treated bottle
  - 3. These are cheap, practical, and simple
  - 4. Results from both assays are similar
  - 5. Measure the response of the mosquito to the insecticide
  - 6. The longer the mosquito lives, the more resistance it is
  - 7. 2009. Malaria Journal. Comparison of the two techniques.
- 9. The basics
  - 1. Establishes a baseline
  - 2. There are established diagnostic times and doses listed in the manual
  - 3. Periodic testing should be done
  - 4. Change control strategies when resistance is detected
  - 5. Procedure
    - 1. 4 treated bottles
    - 2. 1 control bottle
    - 3. Make stock solution using either acetone or ethanol
    - 4. Coat inside of treated bottles
    - 5. Track mortality at 15 minute intervals
    - 6. Ron for 2 hours
  - 6. Results (diagnostic time and dose)
    - 1. 98-100% mortality -susceptible population
    - 2. 80-97% mortality possible resistance
    - 3. <80% mortality resistance
  - 7. Synergism exposure assay

- 10. The future
  - 1. More mosquito species looked at for diagnostic time and dose
  - 2. More chemicals looked at to determine diagnostic time and dose
  - 3. Intensity bioassay
- 11. Managing resistance
  - 1. IPM approach
  - 2. Rotate chemical classes
  - 3. Monitor susceptibility
  - 4. Know your target
- 12. What about the US
  - 1. Not much is being done
  - 2. Not many products to rotate through
- 13. Training is available through the CDC
  - 1. Manual is available online www.cdc.gov/malaria
  - 2. Training www.cdc.gov/parasites/education training
  - 3. Kit is free from CDC email <u>bottleassay@cdc.gov</u> with request
  - 4. Info http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/wbt/resistance/assay/bottle/index.htm

## Third Session

- Blood Feeding Patterns of Culex Mosquitoes in Atlanta, 2010-2011 Rebecca Levine
  - 1. Project description
  - 2. Blood feeding results
    - 1. 81% blood meals were birds
    - 2. 60 mammal feeds, primarily on humans
      - 1. Is this contamination?
      - 2. If it isn't, it might mean Culex does go through a mammalian feeding shift
    - 3. More mammal feeds in 2010 than 2011
    - 4. Most mammal feeding occurs in July
    - 5. Blood meal sources are somewhat situational
    - 6. Residential areas appear to increase avian feeding
  - 3. Working on a feeding model
- Drought and Mosquito Populations Mark Blackmore
  - 1. Bad mosquito problems in Spring 2012
    - 1. Wet Spring heavy March rain
    - 2. Many years of drought prior to 2012
  - 2. Mosquitoes
    - 1. ~58 species found in Georgia
    - 2. 34 species trapped in Lowndes County
    - 3. Variety of larval habitats
      - 1. Permanent
      - 2. Ephemeral
      - 3. Containers
  - 3. Common knowledge
    - 1. Wet years good for mosquitoes

- 2. Dry years good for people
- 4. Average weather
  - 1. Jan ~50 degrees
  - 2. July ~81 degrees
  - 3. Rain 53"
    - 1. 30% early and late
    - 2. 70% summer
- 5. Surveillance
  - 1. Trapping pattern set in 2004-2005
    - 1. 12 sites
    - 2. Occasionally add extra sites
    - 3. Both gravid and light traps
  - 2. NOAA drought graph
    - 1. Palmer drought indices
      - 1. Precipitation
      - 2. Evapotranspiration
      - 3. Soil run off
      - 4. Soil recharge
    - 2. Palmer Z shows how monthly moisture conditions depart from normal short term index
      - 1. <u>http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/oa/climate/research/prelim/drought/palmer.h</u> <u>tml</u>
      - 2. <u>http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/temp-and-precip/time-</u> series/?parameter=zndx&month=8&year=2012&filter=1&state=9&div= 0
  - 3. Study
    - 1. Relationship between drought severity and mosquito populations
      - 1. Lowndes County data show a positive correlation
      - 2. Smith & Love, 1956
        - 1. Shift in species dominance
        - 2. Nightly collections increased
      - 3. Chase & Knight, 2003
        - 1. Similar results
        - 2. May reflect decrease in predators and competitors
    - 2. First WNV+ in May
      - 1. Higher number positive than in previous years
      - 2. Rainfall patterns were odd
      - 3. FMEL bird data suggest that birds disperse when there is more rainfall
      - 4. A large vector population at a time of bird nesting leads to virus amplification
      - 5. The are numerous species-specific patterns related to drought/moisture levels
  - 4. Other issues
    - 1. How precipitation occurs
      - 1. Length
      - 2. Frequency

- 3. Strength
- 2. Irrigation practices
- 6. The research continues does what happens in previous years affect what is happening today?
- Impact of CSO Remediation on Mosquito Abundance and Water Quality Andrea Lund
  - 1. Continuing research on CSOs in Fulton County
  - 2. Previous results
    - 1. CSOs are suitable habitat for quincs to oviposit
    - 2. High organic content reduces number of predators
    - 3. Optimal habitat is stagnant water with sandy bottoms
    - 4. Distance to CSO stream is an important predictor of quinc abundance
    - 5. Clustering of WNV+ occur near CSO streams
  - 3. Atlanta CSOs
    - 1. 7 CSS facilities
    - 2. 1999 Consent Decree issued by the EPA to quire Atlanta to fix this problem
      - 1. Separate systems
      - 2. Create storage tunnels underground
    - 3. West area tunnel completed Nov 2008
      - 1. 8 miles long
      - 2. Deep underground
      - 3. Tanyard Creek most polluted CSO creek
      - 4. Reduces dumping of sewer into creeks
        - 1. 2008 40 events
        - 2. 2011 2 events
  - 4. Study Tanyard Creek & Peavine Creek (non-CSO)
    - 1. June 2008 Oct 2011
    - 2. Preremediation collection
    - 3. Percentage of all mosquitoes collected over course of study
      - 1. 89% larvae
      - 2. 87% pupae
      - 3. 46% adults
      - 2. Productivity was up to 260 pupae per dip at CSO creek
      - 3. No pupae at non-CSO creek
      - 4. Why?
        - 1. Phosphate and ammonia higher in CSO creek
        - 2. DO levels lower at CSO creek
        - 3. Very low abundance of natural predators at CSO creek
    - 4. Post remediation
      - 1. Mosquito productivity decreasing
      - 2. DO rising
      - 3. Ammonia levels decreasing
      - 4. Natural predators increasing did a survey
    - 5. What about WNV risk?

- West Nile Virus in Humans Melissa Ivey
  - 1. FAQs
    - 1. Flavivirus
    - 2. Historically found in Africa, West Asia, and the Middle East
    - 3. Introduced to the US in 1999
    - 4. No considered an endemic seasonal illness
    - 5. Primarily spread by mosquitoes
    - 6. Symptoms
      - 1. 80% show no symptoms at all
      - 2. ~20% have fever
      - 3. <1% have serious illness
    - 7. Symptoms develop 3-14 days after a bite
    - 8. Prevention avoid mosquito bites
  - 2. Historical data
    - 1. First detected in GA in 2001
    - 2. Between 2001-2011 GA had 259 cases
      - 1. 66% male
      - 2. 60% white
      - 3. 42% 60 and older
      - 4. 42% neuroinvasive
    - 3. Most cases seen in Aug and Sept
  - 3. 2012
    - 1. The basics
      - 1. WNV is reportable
      - 2. Individuals must have a positive IgM result to be considered an acute case
      - 3. IgG only cases are not considered acute cases and are not counted
      - 4. Cases are not released until the investigation is complete
      - 5. WNV cases are under-reported
        - 1. No tests, no case
        - 2. More serious cases will end up being reported
    - 2. Nationally as of Oct 16
      - 1. 4531 cases reported
      - 2. 183 deaths
    - 3. GA as of Oct 16
      - 1. 58 cases
      - 2. 4 deaths
      - 3. Stats
        - 1. 77% male
        - 2. 74% white
        - 3. 50% 60 or older
        - 4. 58% neuroinvasive
      - 4. Most cases in July and August to date
    - 5. Still investigating cases
  - 4. Why are we seeing higher cases this year
    - 1. No one really knows
    - 2. Lots of factors involved

- 3. VBDs are naturally cyclical
- 4. Except for numbers, the cases are following the same pattern as previously
- Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labeling of Chemicals Debra Wolfe-Lopez
  - 1. Changes to USHazard Communication Standard changed by OSHA in April
  - 2. MSDS are now SDS
  - 3. What's new?
    - 1. Pictograms
    - 2. Signal words
      - 1. Danger
      - 2. Warning
    - 3. Hazard statements
    - 4. Precautionary statements
  - 4. What hasn't changed
    - 1. FIFRA
    - 2. SDS has changed
    - 3. Other labeling systems can still be used for in-house labels
  - 5. Training of employees for new labels must be completed by Dec 2013
  - 6. Manufacturers need to comply by ???
  - 7. Pictograms
    - 1. Exploding bomb unstable explosives
    - 2. Gas cylinder gas under pressure
    - 3. Flame flash point <200 degrees F
    - 4. Flame over circle oxidizer
    - 5. Corrosive to skin or metals
    - 6. Health hazards
      - 1. Corrosive
      - 2. Skull worse acute (CAT 1-3)
      - 3. Exclamation point acute irritants and acutely toxic (CAT 4)
      - 4. Health hazard chronic/latent;
        - 1. carcinogen,
        - 2. mutagen,
        - 3. reproductive effects or tetratagen
        - 4. Target organ damage
        - 5. Respiratory sensitized
        - 6. Aspiration toxicity (do not induce vomiting)
    - 7. Ecohazard
    - 8. Two of the pictograms (flame and oxidizer) are very similar but mutually incompatible
    - 9. Suppose to be simplified
    - 10. MSDS (SDS) format
      - 1. Old ANSI
      - 2. New GHS
        - 1. Order specified
        - 2. Section names specified

- 3. Info the same
- 11. Resources
  - 1. OSHA
  - 2. EPA
  - 3. Best glove what glove to wear for your specific chemical (<u>http://www.showabestglove.com/site/default.aspx</u>)

## Biological Control Theory - Ian Brown

- 1. The basics
  - 1. Use of living organisms to control pest species
  - 2. Includes organism byproducts referred to as bio rational agents
  - 3. Living, self-sustaining, responding to the pest population in a densitydependent manner
- 2. Why use biocontrol
  - 1. Reduce pesticide usage
  - 2. More specific
  - 3. Help in keeping chemical pesticides useful for need
  - 4. Resistance issues
  - 5. Pesticide treadmill killing pests and predators (a big problem in agriculture)
- 3. Limitations
  - 1. Biocontrol is a slow process, not a quick fix
  - 2. Biocontrol will not eradicate a pest
- 4. What habitats are best
  - 1. Stable and undisturbed poor in seasonal crops
  - 2. Isolated geographically
  - 3. In crops, best in those with a high economic damage threshold
- 5. Types
  - 1. Classical
    - 1. Importation and permanent establishment of a natural enemy to deal with an imported pest
    - 2. Enemy release hypothesis
    - 3. Goal is to restore natural balance
    - 4. Needs to be done very carefully
    - 5. Sometimes the cure becomes worse than the problem
      - 1. Agent must be specific to the pest
      - 2. Has to have a good search strategy so it can find the target pest
      - 3. High fecundity
      - 4. Very adaptable
      - 5. Lack predators
  - 2. Augmentation
    - 1. Adding to native natural enemies
    - 2. Buy and apply strategy
    - 3. Least sustainable
    - 4. Most common
    - 5. Approaches
      - 1. Inundate buy and supply corrective measure

- 2. Inoculate release at intervals preventative measure
- 3. Conservation
  - 1. Modify the environment to encourage natural enemies
    - 1. Alter pesticide applications
    - 2. Alter land use strategies
    - 3. Enhance resources
    - 4. Increase habitat diversity
  - 2. Stops the pesticide treadmill in crops with a high economic damage threshold
- 4. What about mosquitoes
  - 1. Fish
    - 1. Most effective
    - 2. 2 genera
      - 1. Gambusia some issues
      - 2. Killifish
  - 2. Fungi / protozoan
    - 1. Lagenidium giganteum
      - 1. Facultative parasite
      - 2. Long-lived resting bodies
      - 3. Mass produced and available
    - 2. A few other species also kill mosquito larvae
  - 3. Aquatic insects
    - 1. Beetles
    - 2. Dragon and damsel flies
    - 3. Others
  - 4. Mermithid nematode
    - 1. Highly host specific
    - 2. Very hard to mass produce must be done in mosquitoes
    - 3. Work best in clean shallow water
  - 5. Predatory midge
  - 6. Predaceous mosquito larvae
    - 1. Toxorhynchites spp
    - 2. Prone to cannibalism
    - 3. Adults are non-blood feeding (autogenous)
    - 4. Difficult to rear
- 5. Conclusions
  - 1. Most successful are the biorationals
  - 2. Many biocontrol agents are tested
    - 1. Few are effective
    - 2. Even fewer are commercially viable
  - 3. None are good for quick fixes
  - 4. Work best in an IPM program
- Applied Impoundment Management Will Ricks
  - 1. Altamaha Wildlife Management area
    - 1. Combination of fresh and salt marsh

- 2. Waterfowl management
- 3. 31389 acres
- 4. Over 3000 acres of impoundments
  - 1. Old rice fields
  - 2. Managed for game and non-game species
  - 3. Primarily managed for native and migratory waterfowl
  - 4. 7-8 foot tides twice a day
  - 5. Dikes and rim ditches
- 5. Important GA resource
  - 1. Keeping records since the 1960s
  - 2. 2010-2011 broke every waterfowl harvest record
  - 3. 2011-2012 was even better
- 6. Important bird area
- 7. High species richness
- 2. Moist soil management
  - 1. Shallow water areas in impoundment
  - 2. High amount of wildlife resources
  - 3. Manipulate water levels
    - 1. Promote native vegetation
    - 2. Resources for wildlife
      - 1. Slow spring drawdown
      - 2. Fall flood
      - 3. Constant invasive species control
    - 3. Can be bad for mosquito control
- 3. 2011 the year of the mosquito
  - 1. Darien was over-run by salt marsh species
  - 2. DNRs impoundments were considered the major source
  - 3. 2 of the three Rhett's Island pools were dry due to issues with the drawdown systems
  - 4. Surveillance
    - 1. Aug 18-19
    - 2. 97.5% of the species were Oc taeniorhynchus
    - 3. There was a problem
    - 4. Was it actually Rhetts Island causing the problem
      - 1. There was an initial early season hatch on Rhetts
        - 1. Flooded and flushed pools
        - 2. Aerial surveillance no larvae in any of the pools
        - 3. Lots of fish
      - 2. Many 1000s of acres of old rice field contributing to the problem
      - 3. DNR was not the cause of the problem
- 4. Changes for 2012
  - 1. Pools flooded in late spring
  - 2. Left Rhetts Island pools flooded and with fish
  - 3. Mosquito issues in impoundments were minimal
  - 4. Mosquito issues in McIntosh County were minimal
  - 5. Weather differences

- 6. Local mosquito control practices changed
- 5. Made good contacts within mosquito control
- 6. Managing impoundments for waterfowl AND mosquitoes
- 7. Education is the key

19 Oct 12

Fourth Session

- Category 41 Compliance Eric Olsen
  - 1. The mosquito control industry does a great job of being in compliance with FIFRA
  - 2. CAT 41 mosquito control
    - 1. 2012 transfer of CAT 31 license holders to CAT 41
    - 2. Why?
      - 1. CAT 31 was not a commercial license but was "government only"
      - 2. It was the only license to cover mosquito control
      - 3. The introduction of WNV led to a need for a commercial mosquito control license
      - 4. Commercial applicators were allowed to use the CAT 31 license for mosquito control ONLY
    - 3. Problems
      - 1. Big delay in adding CAT 41 to official rules and regs
      - 2. Commercial applicators continued to get CAT 31 license
      - 3. They were using it to treat other pests (rodents, fleas, roaches) in violation of the GA Structural Pest Control Act
    - 4. Solution
      - 1. CAT 41 added to GA Use and Application Act
      - 2. Commercial applicators with a CAT 31 license for mosquito control were switched to CAT 41
      - 3. This was done to ensure all commercial applicators were licensed in the appropriate category
      - 4. Government applicators can apply mosquito control pesticides with either license
  - 3. Commercial compliance
    - 1. GMCA Board initiated the creation of a license for mosquito control
      - 1. Worked with GDA
      - 2. Assistance from UGA Extension
    - 2. Must have the core General Standards
    - 3. Need one full-time licensed CAT 41 applicator per business location
    - 4. Must be insured
    - 5. Record keeping
      - 1. Date
      - 2. Address
      - 3. Pesticide
      - 4. Target
      - 5. Rate

- 6. Amount
- 7. Area treated
- 8. Tech
- 9. Method
- 6. Warning sign must be posted at the entrance of all treated areas (4x5")
- 7. Info must be left for customer
- 8. Pesticide storage
  - 1. Locked
  - 2. Labeled
  - 3. No leaks
- 9. Required safety equipment must be available
- 10. Container disposal triple rinse and picture before disposal
- 4. Pesticide myths, legends, and other strange creatures
  - 1. Bad storage pesticide hoarding
    - 1. Old chemical
    - 2. Discontinued chemicals
    - 3. Damaged and disintegrating containers
  - 2. Pesticide in unlabeled or wrongly labeled containers
    - 1. Problem waiting to happen
    - 2. People die from drinking what they think is a potable product when it is a pesticide
  - 3. Unlicensed sellers
    - 1. Don't buy pesticide from Craig's List
    - 2. Buy only from known and credible sources
  - 4. Dispose of containers properly so they can't be used incorrectly
- ULV Application of Larvicides Charlie Pate
  - 1. Talk from Chris Lesser Delaware Mosquito Control
  - 2. Target pest Aedes albopictus
    - 1. Big nuisance
    - 2. Hard to control with adulticides and larvicide
    - 3. Source reduction and education are largely a wasted effort
  - 3. Tests
    - 1. Bti liquid (12AS)
      - 1. London Fog ULV set to deliver24.4fl oz per acre
      - 2. Set up a field trial with larvae in dishes along spray route simple test
      - 3. Concerns
        - 1. Volatility of product
        - 2. Dispersal pattern consistency
        - 3. Behavior of spray cloud unknown for larvicides
        - 4. How about product deposition
      - 4. Results
        - 1. Good results up to 300'
        - 2. Dropped off after that
    - 2. Second test was set up with Petri dishes in buckets
      - 1. Mortality was a bit lower

- 2. Still had good results
- 4. Downside
  - 1. Product deposition on cars, etc was a problem
  - 2. Need to look at other formulations
  - 3. There are Bti formulations that will work for this type of application
- 5. Other products tested
  - 1. Methoprene liquid
    - 1. Little to no deposition on cars
    - 2. Tested in a 200 acre residential community using larvae in Petri dishes
    - 3. Some areas were very wooded
    - 4. 12.1 fl oz per acre (1 oz Al)
    - 5. Results
      - 1. Control mortality 66%
      - 2. Almost 100% mortality in treated areas after 16 days
    - 6. Altosid is now labeled for ULV application
- 6. Needs a machine dedicated to larval ULV application due to need for lower psi (bigger motor)
- Master Naturalist and Mosquito Control Henry Lewandowski
  - 1. How does mosquito control address environmental concerns
  - 2. Important to educate the public on these issues
  - 3. Examples
    - 1. 23 of 30 staff members have pesticide licenses
    - 2. All control is based on surveillance
      - 1. 40 species
      - 2. 11 of public health or nuisance importance
    - 3. Mosquitoes are tested for arboviruses
    - 4. An IPM approach allows various control approaches, including pesticides
    - 5. FIFRA/NPDES compliance
      - 1. Use treatment thresholds
      - 2. Calibrated equipment at least annually
      - 3. Pesticide efficacy testing
        - 1. Chatham County Culex are resistant to everything but Naled
        - 2. Bottle Bioassay tests will now be done in-house
    - 6. Keeping up with spray nozzle technology for aerial applications
    - 7. Bees
      - 1. Allowed within city
      - 2. 46 beekeepers on list
      - 3. Provide education and training
      - 4. Created spray exclusion zones around known hive locations
    - 8. Mosquito fish
      - 1. Keep a stock of fish at mosquito control
      - 2. Restock fish in areas that have lost fish due to low water conditions
    - 9. Ditching
      - 1. Shipping channel dredging operations create mosquito breeding areas where spoil is deposited

- 2. Spoil sites are ditched, but ditches were still breeding
  - 1. Ditches close together
  - 2. Had to treat entire spoil site
- 3. Currently cut ditches wider, deeper, and further apart
  - 1. Can spot treat
  - 2. Saving in time and pesticide
- 10. Larvicides biorationals for targeted control
- 11. Adulticiding is done only in areas with need
  - 1. 8 labeled chemicals for mosquito control
  - 2. Naled is the pesticide of choice
  - 3. Aerial applications are the preferred delivery method
  - 4. Use returnable containers
  - 5. Low hazardous waste producer
  - 6. Hazardous waste is incinerated and disposed of properly
- 4. Education is important
  - 1. Hand out material
  - 2. Publish when possible
  - 3. Community interaction
- Revamping the Hinesville Program Kenna Graham
  - 1. History
    - 1. New Jersey traps
    - 2. Only adulticides used
  - 2. CH2M
    - 1. 2 mosquito control employees
      - 1. Kenna everything but spraying
      - 2. Spray guy
    - 2. Program issues
      - 1. NJ traps were in bad condition
      - 2. Traps were not in representative locations
      - 3. Operating expenses were high
      - 4. Storage facilities were poor
      - 5. Citizens were not taken into account
    - 3. Changes
      - 1. Coordinate county program
      - 2. Field training for surveillance
      - 3. Interactive classroom training for mosquito ID
      - 4. Construction maintenance
      - 5. Larviciding
      - 6. Work with citizens complaint calls
      - 7. Community involvement
      - 8. Public education
      - 9. Work with enforcement side
    - 4. Inventory and data collection
      - 1. All structures gPS'ed
      - 2. Maps made

- 3. Record keeping updated
- 4. GIS created
- 5. Created a work order system
  - 1. Computer based
  - 2. Complaint sheet
  - 3. Data collected on hand held
- 6. Surveillance
  - 1. Added gravid traps
  - 2. Switched from NJ to CDC light traps
  - 3. ID and pooling for arboviral testing
  - 4. Bought a microscope camera
- 7. Issues
  - 1. Trap mistaken for a bomb
  - 2. Bomb squad called
  - 3. Evacuated neighborhood
  - 4. Ended well
  - 5. Now use labels to ID traps
- 8. Next year barrier spray
- Commercial Mosquito Control Phil Hall (Gregory Pest Control)
  - 1. Why do commercial mosquito control
    - 1. Prevent disease transmission to humans and pets
    - 2. Customer satisfaction nuisance issue
  - 2. Need for partnership with municipal mosquito control and mosquito control associations
  - 3. What can be done
    - 1. Barrier spray applications to yards and other areas
    - 2. Larvicide to breeding sites
      - 1. Discarded tire mosquito control
      - 2. Catch basins
    - 3. ULV applications
      - 1. New service
      - 2. Can do both oil- and water-based product application
    - 4. Biocontrol
    - 5. Source reduction
      - 1. Aquatic plant control
      - 2. Elimination of standing water
  - 4. Follow FIFRA
  - 5. Minimize non-target effects
  - 6. Consumer safety
  - 7. Who does this benefit? Everyone.

**Business Meeting** 

2012-2013 Board

President - Fred

- VP Ian Brown
- ST Jerry
- Director
  - 1st year Alan Gaines
  - 2nd year Jeff Heusel
  - 3rd year Kenna Graham
- Industry Julie Fogg
- Public Health Rep Rose
- Extension Rep Elmer

Meeting update

- Attendance per session 43
- Total attendance 52
- 38 attended banquet

Minutes from August meeting

- 3 guests from GPCA
- Need for a county list of programs and suppliers
- Also need for list of commercial applicators by county
- Elmer Gray liaison between GPCA and GMCA
- \$10270 in bank as of Oct 17

New business

- Distribution of registration materials by email rather than blanket mailing
- Will mail out materials to anyone without an email address